UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/684,312	10/10/2003	Donna Gail Schneider	DGS001	3321
DONNA G SCI	7590 02/26/200 HNEIDER	EXAMINER		
5880 DERBY ROCK LOOP			GRAVINI, STEPHEN MICHAEL	
MANITOU SPI	RINGS, CO 80829		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			3743	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/26/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Interview Summary	10/684,312	e]  N/A.  of an agreement fereing interpretations under cummended to file actions of 35 of the second would rended would rended to file actions of 35 of the second would render the E SUBSTANCE of the second would render the Wou	ONNA GAIL
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Stephen M. Gravini	3743	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):		
(1) <u>Stephen M. Gravini (PTO per)</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Frank McKiel, Jr. (appl rep)</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 20 February 2009.			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊡ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊡ applicant 2	)∏ applicant's representative	.]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>17-27</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed: of record.			
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g	)∏ was not reached. h)∏ N	I/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: Examiner agreed to re-opprimary reference Christen. At the same time, examiner we guidelines regarding 35 USC 112 and basis on the orginally such that the application would be examined in light of the interview.	neen prosecution based on diffect ould view the 35 USC 112 reject of filed application. It as recom- features of Christen and interg	ereing interpretate ections under cui emended to file a pretations of 35 L	rions of rrent Office response JSC 112.
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no coallowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.	opy of the amendments that w		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTIFILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERPRIEMENTS ON THE SUBSTANCE OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERPRIEMENTS ON TO SERVICE OF THE INTERPRIEMENTS ON THE SUBSTANCE OF THE SUBSTA	last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, V	been filed, APP ' DAYS FROM T WHICHEVER IS	LICANT IS THIS LATER, TO
/Stophon M. Crovini/			
/Stephen M. Gravini/			